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Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Registration No: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Department: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Subject: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Year of Training: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Topic: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Part A-Evaluation (75 Marks)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Marks**\* | | | | **Comments** |
| **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| 1. **Introduction/ Review** | | | | |  |
| 1. Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Introduction/ Problem Statements |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Literature Review |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Research Question/ Hypothesis |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Research Objectives/ Originality |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Marks in (I)** | | | | | **\_\_\_\_\_ / 25** |
| 1. **Research Methodology** | | | | |  |
| 1. Study site/ design |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Sampling techniques/ size |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Methods and data collection |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Statistical analysis |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Marks in (II)** | | | | | **\_\_\_\_\_ / 20** |
| 1. **Feasibility/ Outcome of Study** | | | | |  |
| 1. Plan of work/ Gantt Chart |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Practicality to conduct research |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Application/ relevance |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Estimated cost/ budget |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Marks in (III)** | | | | | **\_\_\_\_\_ / 20** |
| 1. **Structure of DSR Report** | | | | |  |
| 1. References, language, grammar & annexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Overall Quality & Clarity of synopsis |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Marks in (IV)** | | | | | **\_\_\_\_\_ / 10** |
| **Total Marks in Part - A** | | | | | **\_\_\_\_\_ /75** |

**\* 2 = unsatisfactory, 3 = satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent**

**Overall Rating**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/ Total marks 75**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall Rating by Evaluators** | | | |
| **Accepted** | **Accepted with conditions** | | **Rejected** |
| **Minor Revisions** | **Major Revisions** |

**Remarks:**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Institute\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Grading Scale:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Excellent**  >80% (A) | *An excellent performance, clearly outstanding. The candidate demonstrates excellent knowledge/skills* |
| **Good**  68-80% (B) | *The candidate demonstrates sound knowledge and a very good degree of independent thinking and research methods.* |
| **Satisfactory**  60-67% (C) | *The candidate demonstrates a reasonable degree of knowledge and application/ research* |
| **Unsatisfactory**  Below 60% (D) | *The candidate demonstrates a very limited degree of knowledge/ presentation skills* |

**Distribution:**

* + 1x copy to be maintained in the concerned Institute, student file, and Academics Directorate
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**THESIS/DISSERTATION EVALUATION REPORT**

**(Postgraduate Programs)**

(To be used by Internal /Foreign Evaluators)

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Registration No.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Degree enrolled: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Department: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Thesis Topic: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Observations/ Comments** |
| **Introduction/ Review** | |
| 1. Abstract |  |
| 1. Introduction/statement of problem |  |
| 1. Research objectives/ originality |  |
| 1. Literature review (relevant and phrased) |  |
| **Research Methodology** | |
| 1. Experimental design/Sampling |  |
| 1. Research methods and techniques |  |
| 1. Statistical analysis |  |
| **Results** | |
| 1. Enough data to achieve objectives |  |
| 1. Research findings/originality of results |  |
| 1. Clarity of results descriptions (graphs, tables & figures) |  |
| **Discussions/ Conclusion** | |
| 1. Scientific interpretation of results & comparison with published literature |  |
| 1. Original contribution to knowledge/ application |  |
| 1. Conclusions/recommendations |  |
| **Structure of thesis** | |
| 1. References, annexures language and grammar |  |
| 1. Overall Quality & Clarity of thesis |  |

**Select ONE:**

1. The thesis is ready to proceed to the Oral Defense
2. Minor revisions before to proceed to the Oral Defense
3. Major revisions before proceeding to the Oral Defense

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator’s Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**NOTE**: Report must include a description of the shortcomings /observations you would expect the student to address in order for the thesis to be and proceeded to the Oral Defense. (please use a separate sheet for comments if required)

**Distribution:**

* + 1 x copy to be maintained in the concerned Institute, Academics Directorate & Controller of Examinations.